

Nerang State High School Annual Implementation Plan 2023

School Improvement Priorities

Improvement priority #1: Supported Learning

All students are supported through a culture of high expectations.

Outcome 1(b): Implement and communicate a culture of high expectations providing clarity and consistency in sustainable behaviour management processes. Ensure ongoing review and staff training.

Leader: Suzy Riley

Actions	Targets	Timelines		
Implement an ongoing cycle of training for staff (including the SFD) in RTP philosophy and underpinning processes. Considerations: Review RTC processes to refine and clarify operations for: (a) RTC referrals by teachers, and (b) RTC supervisors Develop a communication process to ensure teachers are informed of behaviour management outcomes relating to their referrals to RTC.	 Refined RTC processes are documented and communicate to staff. Training for all RTC staff completed. 	Term 1 Wk 5Term 1 Wk 5		
Implement our Ways of Working (classroom expectations) in every classroom, every day. Considerations: SFD program to include refresher training Create a culture of common practice. Execute through 4DX	 Each faculty create a 4DX WIG and lead measures. HODs maintain a 'line of sight' (compelling scoreboard) through each Faculty/Staff meeting (a cadence of accountability) 	Term 1 Wk 3Each meeting		
Provide clear communication to students and parent/carers of our high expectations. Considerations: clarity of vision of a culture RTP philosophy adherence to student code of conduct.	Communication of high expectations via: the start-of-year program a set program for events - Wellbeing program, Year level parades, Form class Home communication	 Term 1 Wk 1 ongoing Term 1,2 & 3 (During Wk 1-3) 		
Review the Student Code of Conduct and refine to ensure alignment to school needs.	Communicate updates to staff.	Term 2 Week 10		
Review the PRIDE Points system	Establish common methodology for allocation of PRIDE Points including tracking.	Term 1 Week 5		

Resourcing Considerations:

- Continue the provision of 5 Deans in the Engagement Team: 5x 0.5 Teacher FTE (I4S)
- Provide AO support to the RTC: 0.4 AO2 FTE (I4S)

Outcome 1(c) - Refine communication strategies to provide clarity of school timelines/events and beliefs/priorities.

Leader: Scott Ison

Actions	Targets	Timelines
 Implement protocols for regular communications to staff (a 'staff communique'), students and parents/carers. Considerations: Develop a schedule of communication to parents and students re school timelines/events and beliefs/priorities via email Use text messaging to inform parents of email communications Copy of emails are also sent to students and teachers Explore DayMap as a central tool to unify all communications for students and staff Determine the purpose and protocols of a 'staff communique' Add summary briefings to faculty meetings to remind staff of the current 'staff communique' Build a cycle of reminders for students eg. PRIDE/ CLUB95/Positive Rewards/ Behaviour Expectations/ Class Expectations. 	 Devise a template and identify contributors for a 'staff communique' Implement 'communique' Review 'communique' Schedule of communication to parents and students developed. and implemented 	 Term 1 Wk 3 Term 1 Wk 4 Term 2 Wk 5 Term 2 Wk 5 Term 3 Week 1
Improve calendar functionality to better communicate timing of school events/deadlines to all stake holders. • Review functionality of DayMap to streamline communication through the one platform • Determine which calendar is best to adopt to organise the school. Investigate expanding 'PRIDE TV' to communicate more	 Determination publicised Calendar migrated Devise usage protocols 	 Term 1 Wk 10 Term 2 Wk 10 • Term 3 Wk 10
effectively across the school.	_ ,	

Resourcing Considerations:

- Community Communications supported by a Community Liaison Officer: 0.6 AO3 FTE (School Purchase)
- Add communication via DayMap Student Portal: Annual purchase cost (School Funded)

Outcome 1(d) - Simplify processes for goal setting and attainment (4DX) to enable staff to meet school targets.

Leader: Scott Ison

Actions	Targets	Timelines		
Refine 4DX to establish protocols for goal setting and attainment in our school. Considerations: Create a cadence of accountability to meet school improvement priorities Establish a process for WIG determination and scheduling Define our scoreboard structure	 Meeting cycle inclusive of WIG sessions Devise protocols for WIG determination, WIG sessions and a compelling scoreboard. Review and document the agreed processes for our school 	Term 1 Wk 1Term 1 Wk 8Term 4 Wk 5		

Resourcing Considerations:

Improvement priority #2: Expert Teaching

Enhance teacher capability in pedagogical practice and support for students.

Outcome 2(a) - Develop a system of modelling, observation and feedback to enhance pedagogical practice.

l ead	er.	Kerry	/ \//h	arton
Leau	CI.	IVELL A		arton

Actions	Targets	Timelines		
Identify a system for modelling, observation and feedback that leverages off the current coaching model. Considerations: Model is inclusive of Team teaching, Peer coaching, NASOT practices, Reciprocal feedback. Steps: 1. Establish a development team 2. Identify and publish timelines for task completion 3. Investigate timetable, finance and staffing options 4. Engage with both TLT and LCC for consultation and approval	The modelling, observation and feedback system is documented, agreed and approved.	• Term 1 Wk 9		
Develop the protocols for feedback for teachers.	 Protocols and support documents (eg. Feedback proformas) published. 	• Term 2 Wk 9		
Implement a trial of the system and review at the end of the trial.	 Fortnightly TLT updates on successes and challenges. Review (feeds into 2024 AIP). 	Term 3 and 4Term 4 Wk 6		

Resourcing Considerations:

TRS release for coaching: 4 lessons per teacher -: 40 TRS days (I4S)

Outcome 2(c) - Develop and enact a digital pedagogical practice framework

Leader: Aaron Woolnough

Actions	Targets	Timelines		
Develop a best practice position statement. Steps: 1. Establish a development team 2. Investigate best practice 3. Ongoing TLT consultation and approval 4. Build the NSHS position statement	 Fortnightly TLT updates Position statement published 	T1 wks 3, 5, 7, 9Term 2 wk 2		
Enact the Digital Pedagogical Practice Framework (DPPF). Considerations: Clear regulations for students on how devices are to be used Staff support considers: (a) Faculty meeting visits to support staff with shared knowledge and resources (b) Timing for becoming a WIG (c) PD in the staff meeting schedule (d) SFD PD time	 Pedagogy is being aligned to the school DPPF through WIG actions TAPA (Technology and Pedagogy Adviser) support provided to staff 	• Term 3-4		

Resourcing Considerations:

• DPPF development and staff PD supported by TAPA: 0.4 Teacher FTE (I4S)

Outcome 2(e) - Continue professional learning and embed NASOT

Leader: Kerry Wharton

Actions	Targets	Timelines			
Develop the plan to embed NASOT.	Plan finalised through TLT.	Term 2 Wk 5			
Considerations: Explicit focus of digital pedagogy in line with AC v9.0/SAM-R model TLT to determine the WIG focus and timeline for each term A common location for a resource bank Release time for existing NASOT team to upload resources to SharePoint Links made with Mentoring, Observation and Feedback system A focus on professional learning through classroom practice	Enact the plan	• Terms 3 - 4			

Resourcing Considerations:

NASOT Team release time: 10 TRS days (I4S)

Outcome 2(f) - Restructure induction program for new and beginning teachers and review the MBT program

Leader: Kerry Wharton

Actions	Targets	Timelines
Review the induction program for New Teachers and the Mentoring Beginning Teachers (MBT) program. Considerations: Seek feedback from: (a) recent new and beginning teachers on preferred areas for Professional Learning. (b) TLT on critical areas and timing for Professional Learning (eg. WOW, COC, RTP, CARP, OneNotes, Markbooks, DAPs, SharePoint,) Implement feedback to tailor the program to identified needs. Refine the MBT professional learning sessions to ensure: a. a differentiated schedule for 1st-Year, 2nd-Year and New-to-NSHS teachers. b. scheduled release time for presenters. Establish a PD program for Mentors to ensure roles and responsibilities are clear. Develop a line management process for mentors to feedback to curriculum HODs to ensure consistency of implementation of the MBT program.	 Program Reviewed Program published 	Term 1 Wk 9Term 2 Wk 6

Resourcing Considerations:

Improvement priority #3: Engaging CurriculumAll learners are supported and engaged in a meaningful curriculum.

Outcome 3(b) - Enact the moderation plan across all learning areas, with a particular emphasis on the before phase.

Leader: Kate Jorgensen

Actions	Targets	Timelines		
Review NSHS current moderation plan (including moderation proformas) with a focus on embedding the 4 stages aligned to EQ Assessment and Moderation Hub Steps: • Form a small school moderation team (from TLT) - unpack the 4 stages of Moderation (Before, After, After, End). • Inform TLT of updated processes – share/feedback. • Consideration of WIG timing • Present updated moderation plan to all staff.	 Team understands BAAE moderation process Update school moderation plan Present moderation plan to TLT HODS present to faculty groups 	 Term 1 Wk2 Term 1 Wk3 Term 1 Wk7 Term 2 Wk10 		
Line Management check-ins - focus on implementation of 4 stages	HODs to share moderation practices with line manager	• Term 3 & 4 Wk 3		
Review and feedback to the moderation team.	Feedback gathered at TLT	Term 4 Wk 5		

Maintenance Outcome: Monitor and maintain existing programs and processes to support every student to stay on track and to prepare every student for their future* (*Equity and Excellence – The Education Strategy)

Leader: Scott Ison

Actions	Targets	Timelines
	 A-C Achievement, Yr 7-9 A-B Achievement, Yr 7-9 Attendance 10-12 Retention QCE/QCIA Attainment VET Cert attainment ATAR >65.0 Closing the Gap Satisfaction Measures (SOS) Data Package (& Associated Action Plan) Systemic Data: SOTN School Data (SOTN Process) DEN Data (On-Track) DPS Markbook (On-Track) Faculty Data (LMM Plan) NAPLAN (Differentiation Plan) QCE On-Track (HOD-SS, SOTN) Attend Tracking (Engagement FIP) School Opinion Survey (AIP) Class Data → DAPs*: SOTN Class Data (Differentiation Plan) Compare & Improvement Data (Diff Plan) Class Excel Markbook (Diff Plan) Class Dashboard (Initial DAP) (*DAP: Differentiation Action Plan)	Actioned by (& Frequency) Class Teachers (Each Term) DPs, Deans, HODs (Each Term) DP for Support Team (Each Term) HODs – with DPs (Each Term) HODs, Teachers (2 per Year) HOD SS, Teachers (Each Term) DPs, Deans (Each week) Admin (Annual) Class Teachers (Each Term) Class Teachers (Each Term) Class Teachers (Each Term) Class Teachers (Each Term) Class Teachers (Each Unit) Class Teachers (Start Year/Sem)

Resourcing Considerations:

- Reintroduce Truancy Officer role: 0.5 AO2 FTE (School Purchase)
- Link & Launch Officer (Dept funded)
- Curriculum planning time: 150 TRS days (I4S)
- Targeted literacy support: 1.8 FTE Teacher Aides (I4S)
- Nerang Connects coordinator: 0.6 FTE Teacher Aide (I4S)

Endorsed:

Soott Ison Principal Tracey Spiers P&C President Daniel Duke

Assistant Regional Director

Appendix 1: Our Key Performance Indicators (KPIs): 2023-2025

YEARS 7-9 LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT (REPORT) DATA

Measure	٥	Subject Avec		Year 7					Year 8					Year 9				
ivicasui e	Subject Area		2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	
LOA≥C	Target (%)*		80	81	81	82	82	80	81	81	82	82	80	81	81	82	82	
% of students		Maths	85	81				82	86				84	78				
attaining a C or better.	ance	English	94	83				90	85				86	86				
	E	Science	90	83				85	84				88	81				
(Performance =	Perfo	Humanities	80	81				90	82				92	91				
Sem 2 Reports)		All Subjects	87	82				86	84				87	83	·			

^{*} We aim to be at, or above, 82% A-C by 2025

Magazira	c	Cubicat Avec		Year 7					Year 8					Year 9				
Measure	Su	Subject Area 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025					2025	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	
LOA = A,B	Target (%)*		50	50	50	51	52	50	50	50	51	52	50	50	50	51	52	
% of students		Maths	47	43				46	51				57	39				
attaining an A or B.	nce	English	61	36				50	37				48	38				
o. 5.		Science	64	51				54	53				58	55				
(Performance =	Perfo	Humanities	45	48				54	44				81	75				
Sem 2 Reports)		All Subjects	55	45				51	46				57	47				

^{*} We aim to be at, or above, 52% A-B by 2025

At or above 1-3% below ≥ 4% below

YEARS 7 and 9 NAPLAN DATA

Measure	NAPLAN Domains	Performance /	Year 7				Year 9					
		Targets*	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
MAPLAN NMS % of students at or above National Minimum Standard (State Schools % is shown in	Reading	Performance	96	91				90	67			
		Target (& SS Perform)	95 (91)	91 (91)	91			95 (83)	83 (82)	82		
	Writing	Performance	88	84				72	60			
		Target (& SS Perform)	87 (83)	84 (84)	84			72 (72)	72 (74)	74		
	Spelling	Performance	95	91				91	76			
		Target (& SS Perform)	95 (90)	91 (90)	91			90 (86)	86 (87)	87		
	Grammar & Punctuation	Performance	90	85				84	65			
brackets)		Target (& SS Perform)	90 (85)	85 (86)	86			83 (82)	82 (80)	80		
	Numeracy	Performance	96	89				97	76			
		Target (& SS Perform)	95 (89)	89 (87)	87			95 (91)	91 (91)	82		
NAPLAN U2B	Reading	Performance	20	17				12	11			
		Target (& SS Perform)	23 (23)	20 (20)	20			18 (17)	17 (16)	15		
% of students in the upper 2 bands of each domain. (State Schools % is shown in brackets)	Writing	Performance	10	15				5	9			
		Target (& SS Perform)	15 (15)	16 (17)	17			10 (10)	10 (12)	12		
	Spelling	Performance	28	21				11	6			
		Target (& SS Perform)	26 (26)	26 (28)	25			18 (18)	16 (14)	14		
	Grammar & Punctuation	Performance	15	16				15	13			
		Target (& SS Perform)	20 (23)	20 (21)	20			18 (18)	18 (19)	18		
	Numaraa	Performance	19	13				9	8			
	Numeracy	Target (& SS Perform)	20 (25)	22 (23)	22			14 (14)	14 (14)	14		

^{*} We aim to be at, or above, State Schools average

Appendix 1 cont.

Key Performance Indicators

Percentage of students work Percentage of students with a TAR Solid Lore Target State Percentage of students with a TAR Solid Lore Target State Percentage of students with a TAR Solid Lore Target State Percentage of students with a TAR Solid Lore Target State Percentage of students with a TAR Solid Lore Target State Percentage of students with a TAR Solid Lore Target State Percentage of students with a TAR Solid Lore Target State Percentage of students who are completing completed a SAT State Percentage of students who are completing completed a SAT State Percentage of students who are completing completed a SAT State Percentage of students who are completing completed a SAT State Percentage of students who are completing completed a SAT State Percentage of students who are completing completed a SAT State Percentage of students who are completing completed a SAT State Percentage of students who are completing completed a SAT State Percentage of students who are completing completed a SAT State Percentage of students who are completing completed a SAT State Percentage of students who are completing completed a SAT State Percentage of students who are completing completed a SAT State Percentage of students who are completing completed a SAT State Percentage of students who are completing completed a SAT State Percentage of students who are completing completed a SAT Percentage of students who are completed of students who are		Dimensions of Measure		Performance									
YEAR 12 OUTCOMES Percentage of Year ATAR eligible students with a marked a completing/completed a SAT. Percentage of students who are completing/completed a SAT. Next Step: % in education, training or employment raining or employment with a students. Perf	Measure				2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025		
## the end of Year 12.* Target 98 95 95 96 96 ## Percentage of Year ATAR- eligible surfects with ATAR Set (), (est 1-ts) (of toter entered another) the variety of the territory (of toter entered another) the variety of the territory (of toter entered another) the variety of the territory (of toter entered another) the variety of the territory (of toter entered another) the variety of the territory (of the territory of the territor				Perf	98	96	99	100					
Percentage of Year ATAR eligible students with ATAR Sol. 0 (-cot 1-15) (of toner motion without Swith State (-cot 1-15) (of toner motion without Swithout Swithout Swith State (-cot 1-15) (of toner without Swithout				Target	98	95*	95		96	96	96		
Percentage of Year ATAR-elightis students with ATAR				├	 		†						
VEAR 12 OUTCOMES Form Very Ve				Perf				72					
YEAR 12 OUTCOMES Percentage of students Perf 78		eli	gible students with ATAR	Target					76	76	77		
Automatical Contents													
Automatical Compose		Pe	ercentage of students	Perf	78	79	99	85					
Percentage of students who are completing/completed a SAT.	OUTCOMES	aw	varded 1 or more VET	Target	80	80	80	80	81	82	82		
Target 15 15 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18		qu	als. (incl a SAT)	State	(76)	(80)	(80)						
Are completing/completed a SAT. Slate 15 15 15 16 17 18 18 18 Slate (15) (14) (12)		Pe	ercentage of students who	Perf	20	19	26	20					
Next Step: % in education, training or employment Perf 75 57 85 89				Target	15	15	15	16	17	18	18		
Average attendance rate for students.		SA	AT.	State	(15)	(14)	(12)						
Average attendance rate for students. State (91) (89) (89) (86) (Perf	75	57	85	89					
ATTENDANCE AND RETENTION Same-school retention (% of current students who have been enrolled from Year 7) For Target				Perf	86	84	82	78					
Same-school retention Same			0	Target	88	86	85	85	85	86	87		
Same-school retention (% of current students who have been enrolled from Year 7) Perf Ranget R		"	ducinio.	State	(91)	(89)	(89)	(86)					
CLOSING THE GAP Perf Per		(% of current students who have		Perf	76	83	85	87					
Deen enrolled from Year 7) Perf				Target	80	80	80	80	80	80	80		
Target 0													
Target Closing The GAP Closing The gap between Indigenous and non-Indigenous Same-school retention Perf 2		attendance rates (%)		Perf	4	7	6	4					
Same-school retention			(70)	Target	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Target 0	GAF		same school retention	Perf	2	9	6	0					
Name			Same-School retention	Target	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Target 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			A.C. Ashiovement (7.12)	Perf	8	8	3	4					
SATISFACTION SATISFACTION MEASURES (School Opinion Survey) Survey Secondary Schools Secondary Schools Secondary Schools Second (S2036) Second (S2036) Second (S2036) Second (S2037) Seco			A-C Achievement (7-12)	Target	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
SATISFACTION SATISFACTION The sist of secondary Schools Secondary Schools Secondary Schools Secondary Schools Secondary School Sec			(S2068)	Perf	87	80	71	59					
I feel safe at my school Secondary Schools Satisfaction Sa		ts											
I feel safe at my school Secondary Schools Satisfaction Sa		den					ļ						
SATISFACTION MEASURES (School Opinion Survey) This is a good school (s2001) Perf 94 86 88 88 (S2035) QSSS (90) () (88)		Stu	I feel safe at my school										
This is a good school (\$2005) Perf 94 86 88 88 (\$88)	SATISFACTION			-			 						
(School Opinion Survey) (School Opinion Survey) (School (S2001)) QSSS (90) () (88) (88) QSSS: Qld State Secondary Schools My child likes being at this school (S2001) Perf 91 82 85 85 My child feels safe at this school (S2002) Perf 91 80 89 85 My child feels safe at this school (S2002) QSSS (91) () (87) (86) This is a good school (S2002) Perf 90 95 92 72 QSSS (89) () (89) (88) I enjoy working at this school (S2069) QSSS (92) () (89) (88) I feel this school is a safe place in which to work Perf 93 89 91 83			(S2035)	T									
My child likes being at this school (s2001)	(School								 	1			
My child feels safe at this school (s2002) Perf 91 80 89 85		ents	My child likes being at this school (s2001)										
My child feels safe at this school (s2002) Perf 91 80 89 85		Pare			(91)	()	(85)	(85)					
This is a good school Perf 90 95 92 72			My child feels safe at this				4		<u> </u>				
S2108 QSSS (89) () (89) (88)			This is a good school										
I enjoy working at this school (\$2069) Perf 89 - 87 88	Secondary Schools						÷		 				
\$\vec{\pi}\$ school (\$2069) QSSS (92) () (89) (88) I feel this school is a safe place in which to work Perf 93 89 91 83		<u>.</u>	, ,							-			
I feel this school is a safe place in which to work		Staf							 	-			
place in which to work													
				QSSS	(92)	()	(89)	(86)	 	1			

^{*} We aim to be at, or above, state average

Α	At or above	1-3% below	≥ 4% below